

REMARKS

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claim Amendments

Claims 1, 6 and 20 have been amended to exclude groups containing a hetero moiety.

Claim 16 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 15, as a result of which claims 15 and 18 have been cancelled, without prejudice.

No new matter has been added to the claims by these amendments.

Claim Objections

The objections to claims 1 and 6 have been rendered moot by the above-discussed claim amendments. Specifically, the language objected to in claims 1 and 6 has been deleted from the claims. Accordingly, the objections to the claims are no longer tenable, and should be withdrawn.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement has been rendered moot in view of the cancellation of this claim.

The rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement has also been rendered moot in view of the cancellation of this claim.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The rejection of claim 15 as being indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph has been rendered moot in view of the cancellation of this claim.

Consideration After Final Rejection

Although this amendment is presented after final rejection, the Examiner is respectfully requested to enter the amendments and consider the remarks, as they clearly place the application in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

Therefore, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that each of the grounds of objection and rejection set forth by the Examiner has been overcome, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

If, after reviewing this Amendment, the Examiner feels there are any issues remaining which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone in order to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Yoshihiro HORIUCHI

By: 

Amy E. Schmid
Registration No. 55,965
Attorney for Applicant

AES/emj
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
October 24, 2008